IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.R.No. 2412 of 2005
Date of decision : 3.11.2006.

Nanag Ram

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Sardar Bishan Singh and others.

.....Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD K. SHARMA

Present : Mr.Sanjay Mittal,Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Garg, Advocate
for the respondents.

****

VINOD K. SHARMA,J.(ORAL)

The present revision petition has been filed against the order dated 3.12.2004 vide which the application moved by the respondent under Order 9 Rule 7 C.P.C. for setting aside the ex parte proceeding has been allowed.

The learned trial Court prima facie came to the conclusion that the respondent was not served in accordance with the law. Be that as it may be,the order passed by the trial Court does not determine the rights of the parties in any way so as to enable the petitioner to invoke the supervisory jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

Dismissed.

November 3,2006 **(VINOD K. SHARMA)**
'sp' **JUDGE**